

# ANNEX 3

## TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO)

### SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 24/11/11 Surveyor: John Clayton

Tree details  
TPO Ref (if applicable): Tree/Group No: Species: Lime  
Owner (if known): Trustees of Wintingham Gate Location: Wintingham Main Street

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

#### Part 1: Amenity assessment

##### a) Condition & suitability for IPO

- |                     |                         |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good             | Highly suitable         |
| 3) Fair             | Suitable                |
| 1) Poor             | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead             | Unsuitable              |
| 0) Dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable              |

Score & Notes

5

\* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

##### b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for IPO

- |           |                 |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+   | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable   |
| 2) 20-40  | Suitable        |
| 1) 10-20  | Just suitable   |
| 0) <10*   | Unsuitable      |

Score & Notes

4

\*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

##### c) Relative public visibility & suitability for IPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- |   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees  | Highly suitable     |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public       | Suitable            |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only              | Suitable            |
| 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable     |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size              | Probably unsuitable |

Score & Notes

5

##### d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

Score & Notes

4

#### Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

- 5) Immediate threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only

Score & Notes

1

#### Part 3: Decision guide

- |       |                       |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply IPO      |
| 1-6   | TPO indefensible      |
| 7-10  | Does not merit TPO    |
| 11-14 | TPO defensible        |
| 15+   | Definitely merits TPO |

Add Scores for Total:

19

Decision:

Make TPO